

VISUAL AND MULTIMODAL URBAN SOCIOLOGY, PART A

Imagining the Sensory City

Edited by Luc Pauwels

RESEARCH IN
URBAN SOCIOLOGY

VOLUME 18A

VISUAL AND MULTIMODAL
URBAN SOCIOLOGY, PART A

RESEARCH IN URBAN SOCIOLOGY

Series Editor: Ray Hutchison

Volumes:

- Volume 1: Race, Class and Urban Change, 1989
- Volume 2: Gentrification and Urban Change, 1992
- Volume 3: Urban Sociology in Transition, 1993
- Volume 4: New Directions of Urban Sociology, 1997
- Volume 5: Constructions of Urban Space, 2000
- Volume 6: Critical Perspectives on Urban Redevelopment, 2001
- Volume 7: Race and Ethnicity in New York City, 2004
- Volume 8: Ethnic Landscapes in an Urban World, 2006
- Volume 9: Gender in an Urban World, 2008
- Volume 10: Suburbanization in Global Society, 2010
- Volume 11: Everyday Life in the Segmented City, 2011
- Volume 12: Urban Areas and Global Climate Change, 2012
- Volume 13: Urban Megaprojects: A Worldwide View, 2013
- Volume 14: From Sustainable to Resilient Cities: Global Concerns and Urban Efforts, 2014
- Volume 15: Public Spaces: Times of Crisis and Change, 2017
- Volume 16: Urban Ethnography: Legacies and Challenges, 2019
- Volume 17: Rhythmanalysis: Place, Mobility, Disruption and Performance, 2021

RESEARCH IN URBAN SOCIOLOGY VOLUME 18A

**VISUAL AND MULTIMODAL
URBAN SOCIOLOGY,
PART A: IMAGINING THE
SENSORY CITY**

EDITED BY

LUC PAUWELS

University of Antwerp, Belgium



United Kingdom – North America – Japan
India – Malaysia – China

Emerald Publishing Limited
Howard House, Wagon Lane, Bingley BD16 1WA, UK

First edition 2023

Editorial matter and selection © 2023 Luc Pauwels.
Published under exclusive licence by Emerald Publishing Limited.
Individual chapters © 2023 by Emerald Publishing Limited.

Reprints and permissions service

Contact: permissions@emeraldinsight.com

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, transmitted in any form or by any means electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without either the prior written permission of the publisher or a licence permitting restricted copying issued in the UK by The Copyright Licensing Agency and in the USA by The Copyright Clearance Center. Any opinions expressed in the chapters are those of the authors. Whilst Emerald makes every effort to ensure the quality and accuracy of its content, Emerald makes no representation implied or otherwise, as to the chapters' suitability and application and disclaims any warranties, express or implied, to their use.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN: 978-1-83909-969-4 (Print)
ISBN: 978-1-83909-968-7 (Online)
ISBN: 978-1-83909-970-0 (Epub)

ISSN: 1047-0042 (Series)



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

CONTENTS

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>vii</i>
<i>About the Contributors</i>	<i>xiii</i>
Chapter 1 – Viewing and Sensing the City: Cross-Disciplinary Perspectives, Methods, and Technologies	1
<i>Luc Pauwels</i>	
Chapter 2 – Imagining the City as Home: Functional Prerequisites and Moral Challenges	11
<i>John Grady</i>	
Chapter 3 – Unpacking Urban Life in the Past: “Time Machine” as a Data Visualization and Analysis Tool	63
<i>Danielle van den Heuvel and Julia Noordegraaf</i>	
Chapter 4 – Geo-Referencing Early Photographic Studios and Using Historic Photographs to Study Urban Processes and Environments	97
<i>Jeremy Rowe</i>	
Chapter 5 – Playing the Early Renaissance City	123
<i>Ray Hutchison</i>	
Chapter 6 – Learning From Street View: Lessons in Urban Visuality	141
<i>Scott McQuire</i>	
<i>Index</i>	<i>161</i>

This page intentionally left blank

LIST OF FIGURES

Chapter 2

- Figure 1. Artist Interpretation (© Paolo Favero) of Weegee’s photograph “Their First Murder.” New York City, 1945. Weegee (Arthur Felling)/International Center of Photography. 13
- Figure 2. Garry Winogrand. “Untitled.” New York City, 1968. Fraenkel Gallery. © The Estate of Garry Winogrand, courtesy of the Fraenkel Gallery, San Francisco. 14
- Figure 3. Illustration of “Ideal Utility Layout,” in David Macaulay, *Underground*. 1976, p. 46. 20
- Figure 4. Illustration of “Electrical System,” In David Macaulay, *Underground*. 1976, p. 66. 21
- Figure 5. Conceptual graph of Settlement Functions. Designed by John Grady. 2022. 22
- Figure 6. Aka Pygmies in Congo Basin. Photograph by JMGRACIA100, 2014. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pygmy_peoples#/media/File:Living_on_the_rainforest.jpg. 24
- Figure 7. Map of the Fresh Pond Reservoir Watershed, Cambridge, Massachusetts. “Where Does Our Drinking Water Come From,” Cambridge Water Department. 2022. 26
- Figure 8. “Shoppers stock up at the Chelsea Market Basket before a storm in 2019.” Photo by Nicolaus Czarnecki/MediaNews Group/Boston Herald. 28
- Figure 9. “Earth at Night.” NASA/NOAA. 2016. 29
- Figure 10. Designated Traffic Lanes on Broadway Avenue, Somerville, Massachusetts, 2020. Kittelson & Associates, Inc. 31
- Figure 11. “Block Party,” Creighton Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1999. 33
- Figure 12. “One Half meets the Other Half” — West Broadway. Frank Leslie’s Illustrated Newspaper, April 23, 1881. 34
- Figure 13. Artist interpretation (© Paolo Favero) of an Ofoto Advertisement, *Life Magazine*, May 23, 2000. 36
- Figure 14. “Scan-Sampling Observations of Family Members’ Location,” Jeanne Arnold and Center of Everyday Life of Families (UCLA). 2012, p. 92. 39

Figure 15.	Ovie Carter. “Who’s Who on the Sidewalk,” 1999.	42
Figure 16.	Three Deckers in Cambridge, Massachusetts.	43
Figure 17.	“Untitled,” New York City Municipal Archives. Circa 1914–1918.	44
Figure 18.	Christopher Helin. “Federal Truck – San Francisco Casket Co.” San Francisco. 1918.	47
Figure 19.	Frank Beard. “Protect that Boy,” 50 Great Cartoons. 1895, no pagination, https://archive.org/details/VintageChristianCartoons/969B55E2-2199-4B94-91F8-6040FDA36678.jpeg .	48
Figure 20.	Christmas Decorations on Creighton Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1999. John Grady.	51
Figure 21.	Dorothea Lange. “Destitute pea pickers in California. Mother of seven children. Age thirty-two. Nipomo, California.” Library of Congress. 1936.	56
Figure 22.	Dorothea Lange. “Migrant agricultural worker’s family. Seven hungry children. Mother aged thirty-two. Father is native Californian. Nipomo, California.” Library of Congress. 1936.	57

Chapter 3

Figure 1.	Analytical Interface for Exploring the 3D Model of the Front Hall of the 1665 House at Herengracht in Amsterdam with Uncertainty Overlay Developed in the Virtual Interiors Project.	70
Figure 2.	VR Version Offering an Experiential View of the 3D Model of the Front Hall of the 1665 House at Herengracht in Amsterdam Developed in the Virtual Interiors Project.	71
Figure 3.	Bloemstraat Seen from Westertoren. Photo by Jacob Olie, June 2, 1896.	77
Figure 4.	View of Bloemstraat Toward Westertoren.	78
Figure 5.	The Availability of Primary Sources for the Reconstruction of Bloemstraat/Eerste Bloemdwarsstraat Corner.	80
Figure 6.	Bloemstraat 3D Digital Reconstruction, With Levels of Confidence.	81
Figure 7.	Layering Visual Source Materials on the 3D Digital Model and Contemporary Map.	82
Figure 8.	Advertisement of the Workshop of Nicolaas Schreuder in the Bloemstraat (no date).	84
Figure 9.	The Mennonite Church in the Bloemstraat, 1729.	85
Figure 10.	“Dubbele gang” (“Double Alley”), an Alley Departing From Bloemgracht and Connected to Houtkopersgang, no date.	87

Figure 11.	Commuting Routes and Distances of Christina Planson, an Amsterdam Fish Seller in the Eighteenth Century Projected on the 1724 Map by Gerrit de Broen (provided by Amsterdam Time Machine).	89
Chapter 4		
Figure 1a.	New York Academy of Design Building, 23rd Street and Park Avenue, Cartes-de-Visite by M. Ormsbee With Added Manuscript Caption, ca 1864.	98
Figure 1b.	H. Keller and Allen Retail Store “Importers and Jobbers of Cloths, Cassimeres, Doeskins, and Tailors’ Trimmings” (Previously H. Schulting) at the Corner of William and Ann Streets, Cartes-de-Visite copy of an Earlier Photograph of New York City, by Silver and Waterman ca 1870.	99
Figure 2.	Typical American Style Presentation of a Vignetted Quarter Plate Daguerreotype Portrait of an Affluently Dressed But Unidentified Man by Marcus and Samuel Root, 363 Broadway ca 1855.	101
Figure 3.	Comparison of the Descriptions of Photographic Process Used in New York City Directory Advertisements by Year.	102
Figure 4a.	Abraham Bogardus, 363 Broadway, New York City with Studio Advertisement in Case Plush Pad. Sixth plate daguerreotype ca. 1850.	104
Figure 4b.	Rufus Anson, 589 Broadway Studio Imprint in Top of Oval Velvet Case. Ninth plate daguerreotype ca. 1858.	105
Figure 5a and 5b.	Mixed Demographic Crowd Posing on the Cast Iron Lowe Bridge That Was Built Across Broadway in Lower Manhattan. Half-Plate tintype (note lateral reversal) by S. A. Holmes (Label on reverse), 206 Broadway, room 7, New York, New York. ca 1867.	106
Figure 6a and 6b.	Examples of Advertisements for the Scoville Photographic Manufacturing Company, Operating at No. 57 Maiden Lane in New York City ca. 1850. (L) Paper Label (R) Recto and Verso of Advertising Token.	107
Figure 7.	Geo-Referenced Map of Daguerreotypists and Early Photographers Operating in New York City and Brooklyn From 1839 to ca 1870.	109
Figure 8a.	Unidentified Portrait of a Child, by Charles D. Fredericks, 585 Broadway Studio Imprint on Brass Mat. Sixth plate daguerreotype ca. 1859.	110
Figure 8b.	Unusual Close-Up Portrait of a Well-Dressed But Unidentified Young Man, by Myron Kimball, 347 Broadway Studio Imprint on Brass Mat. Ninth plate Ambrotype ca 1860.	111
Figure 9.	Comparison Over Time Showing Movement of the Most Populated Neighborhoods in the New York City.	112

Figure 10.	Comparison of the Numbers of Individuals Advertising in New York City Directories as Photographers, Operators, and Suppliers by Year.	114
Figure 11.	Geo-Referenced Map Showing the New York City Daguerreotypists Operating at a Common Address From 1840 to 1855 Appearing Once for Each Year of Operation.	116
Figure 12.	Detail of Geo-Referenced Map of New York City Daguerreotypists Over Historic Map ca. 1854.	117
Figure 13.	Comparison of Population of New York and Number of Photographic Businesses Advertising in the New York City Directory.	118
Figure 14.	View of Commercial Buildings in Chatham Square, Looking Up Bowery. Albumen Stereograph No. 3698 Published by E. & H. T. Anthony, ca 1860.	119
Figure 15.	Carte-de-Visite of Illustrations Comparing the New “Howard Mission and Home for Little Wanderers” at 204 5th Street.	120
Chapter 5		
Figure 1.	“Firenze 1476,” Assassin’s Creed. © Ubisoft. All other rights are reserved by Ubisoft Entertainment.	124
Figure 2.	Ezio Looks Out over the Basilica of Santa Maria Novella in This Screen Capture from Assassin’s Creed. © Ubisoft. All other rights are reserved by Ubisoft Entertainment.	126
Figure 3.	Ezio Looks Out over the Palazzo Pitti, Which Has Been Occupied by the Dominican Friar Savonarola and His Followers. © Ubisoft. All other rights are reserved by Ubisoft Entertainment.	126
Figure 4a.	Screen Capture of the Palazzo Medici Riccardi Garden from Assassin’s Creed II. © Ubisoft. All other rights are reserved by Ubisoft Entertainment.	127
Figure 4b.	Contemporary Photograph of Interior Garden (Public Domain).	128
Figure 4c.	Screen Capture of Bennozzo Gozzoloi’s <i>Procession of the Magi</i> from Interior Wall of the Medici Chapel Wall in the Palazzo Medici Riccardi. © Ubisoft. All other rights are reserved by Ubisoft Entertainment.	128
Figure 5a and 5b.	Screen Captures of Mercato Vecchio from Assassin’s Creed II. © Ubisoft. All other rights are reserved by Ubisoft Entertainment.	129
Figure 5c.	Photograph Showing the Open Space Created by Demolition of the Mercato Vecchio and Ghetto Vecchio to Create the Piazza della Repubblica. (Public domain/Wiki commons).	130
Figure 6a.	Screen Capture of St. Croce from Assassin’s Creed II. © Ubisoft. All other rights are reserved by Ubisoft Entertainment.	131

Figure 6b.	Photograph of Facade Prior to 1863 Addition. (Public domain).	132
Figure 7.	Screen Capture of Ospedale Degli Innocenti from Assassin's Creed II. © Ubisoft. All other rights are reserved by Ubisoft Entertainment.	133
Figure 8a.	Screen Capture of Santa Maria del Fiore in Assassin's Creed II. © Ubisoft. All other rights are reserved by Ubisoft Entertainment.	134
Figure 8b.	1586 Sketch of Duomo Exterior by Bernardino Poccetti.	134
Figure 9a and 9b.	Above: Screen Capture Showing Ezio Meeting with Machiavelli Outside Palazzo Pitti. Note that the structure as shown here dates to the 1600s (© Ubisoft. All other rights are reserved by Ubisoft Entertainment. Below: Architectural model of Palazzo Pitti at time of completion, ca. 1460 (public domain).	136
Figure 10.	Niccolo Machiavelli and Ezio Meet to Discuss the Actions of Girolamo Savonarola (screen print from Assassin's Creed II). © Ubisoft. All other rights are reserved by Ubisoft Entertainment.	137
Chapter 6		
Figure 1.	“Google I/O 2013 – Project Ground Truth: Accurate Maps via Algorithms and Elbow Grease” Posted on the Google Developers Channel on YouTube at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FsbLEtS0uls .	142
Figure 2.	Screenshot of a Street View Panorama in Brunswick, Victoria.	147

This page intentionally left blank

ABOUT THE CONTRIBUTORS

John Grady is Professor Emeritus of Sociology at Wheaton College in Norton, Massachusetts. He is a past president of the International Visual Sociology Association (IVSA), and is currently a Co-editor of the journal *Visual Studies*. His research and teaching interests include the study of cities, technology and social organisation. He has written extensively on visual sociology in general and on the use of the visual mass media as evidence for social and cultural analysis. He has produced numerous documentary films including *Mission Hill and the Miracle of Boston* (1979), *Down the Projects: The Crisis of Public Housing* (1982), and *Water and the Dream of the Engineers* (1983).

Ray Hutchison is Professor of Sociology and Anthropology at the University of Wisconsin-Green Bay and Santander Chair of Humanities and Social Sciences (New University of Lisbon). He received his BA (Sociology) from SUNY-Binghamton and MA and PhD (Sociology) from the University of Chicago. Dr. Hutchison is co-author of four editions of *The New Urban Sociology* and editor of *The Encyclopedia of Urban Studies*. He organized two conferences on *Everyday Life in the City* for the Romauldo del Bianco Foundation in Florence. His recent research has focused on *empty spaces* and *urban memory*, examining the location, disappearance, and remembrance of the location of working-class struggles in Chicago during the Great Railroad Strike of 1877 and the Haymarket Affair in 1886.

Scott McQuire is Professor of Media and Communications in the University of Melbourne. He is one of the founders of the interdisciplinary Research Unit for Public Cultures where he researches the nexus of digital media, contemporary culture, urbanism, and social theory. His recent books include *Geomedia: Networked Cities and the Future of Public Space* (2016, Chinese and Russian translations 2019), *Art Seen Under Digital Light: Photography, the Image and the Aesthetics of Data* (2018) and the co-edited collection *Communicative Cities and Urban Space* (2021).

Julia Noordegraaf is Professor of Digital Heritage at the University of Amsterdam, where she leads the digital humanities research program and lab Creative Amsterdam (CREATE) that studies the history of urban creativity using digital data and methods. Noordegraaf's research focuses on the preservation and reuse of audiovisual and digital cultural heritage for historical research. She has published, amongst others, the monograph *Strategies of Display* (2004/2012) and, as principal editor, *Preserving and Exhibiting Media Art* (2013) and her work has appeared in journals such as *Journal on Computing and Cultural Heritage*,

Museum Management and Curatorship, and the *Journal for Media History*. Noordegraaf is a former fellow of the Netherlands Institute for Advanced Study in the Humanities and Social Sciences. She currently acts as a board member for CLARIAH, the national infrastructure for digital humanities research and acts as Vice President of the European Time Machine Organization.

Luc Pauwels is Professor Emeritus of Visual Sociology and Anthropology at the University of Antwerp (Faculty of Social Sciences), Founding and former Director of the Visual and Digital Cultures Research Center (ViDi) and currently President of the “Visual Sociology” Research Committee of the International Sociological Association (ISA). He is also a former Vice-President of the International Visual Sociology Association (IVSA), of the International Visual Literacy Association (IVLA) as well as Past Chair of the Visual Communication Studies Division of the International Communication Association (ICA). His books include: *Visual Cultures of Science: Rethinking Representational Practices in Knowledge Building and Science Communication* (2006, Dartmouth College Press, UPNE), *Reframing Visual Social Science. Towards a More Visual Sociology and Anthropology* (2015, Cambridge University Press) and *The SAGE Handbook of Visual Research Methods* (2011, 1st ed. with E. Margolis; 2020, 2nd ed. with D. Mannay).

Jeremy Rowe is an Emeritus Professor at Arizona State University and currently a Senior Research Scientist at New York University. Jeremy Rowe has collected, researched, and written about 19th- and early twentieth-century photographs for 30 years. He wrote *Arizona Photographers 1850–1920: A History and Directory*, *Arizona Real Photo Postcards: A History and Portfolio*, and *Arizona Stereographs 1865–1930*, and numerous chapters and articles on photographic history. He curated exhibitions and served on boards, including the Daguerreian Society as President, The Ephemera Society of America, INFOCUS – the Phoenix Art Museum Center for Creative Photography collaboration, Daniel Nagrin Theatre Film and Dance Foundation Inc. as Chairman, and currently the National Stereoscopic Association. He was a Fulbright Specialist at the National Museum of Bosnia Herzegovina and currently works with the Library of Congress on projects related to the Nagrin collection and new National Stereoscopic Research Collection and Fellowships.

Danielle van den Heuvel is an Associate Professor in Early Modern History at the University of Amsterdam and Director of the Amsterdam Center for Urban History. Her research has an interdisciplinary focus and centers around two main themes: the impact of institutions on groups in the margins of early modern society, and life in city streets before industrialisation. She is the author of the prize-winning book *Women and Entrepreneurship. Female Traders in the Northern Netherlands c.1580–1815* (Amsterdam 2007), and her work has appeared in journals such as *SIGNS*, *Continuity and Change*, *Journal of Urban History*, and *The Historical Journal*. Since 2016 she has been directing a large research project funded by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research which investigates the relationship between gender and urban space in premodern Eurasia.

Chapter 1

VIEWING AND SENSING THE CITY: CROSS-DISCIPLINARY PERSPECTIVES, METHODS, AND TECHNOLOGIES

Luc Pauwels

ABSTRACT

This introductory chapter starts off by discussing the differences and inter-connections of visual sociology and urban sociology in their quest to understand human settlements. It then moves to argue for expanding the focus to other disciplines that are equally geared toward researching aspects of the city in visual and multimodal ways, since the urban context cannot be studied comprehensively without engaging a multitude of disciplines and trying to make productive connections between them. The chapter continues with a concise overview and discussion of each of the contributions in this first of two volumes of “Visual and Multimodal Urban Sociology” in the “Research in Urban Sociology” series. These twin volumes explore multiple ways in which the city and city life may be approached, studied, and expressed through visual and multimodal means and methods, thereby as much as possible including sensory experiences other than those related to seeing and hearing. It concludes with drawing some contours and challenges of visual and multimodal urban studies and the critical role of technology in advancing this cross-disciplinary field of inquiry.

Keywords: Visual sociology; urban sociology; multimodal; multisensory; cross-disciplinarity; technology

1. THE URBAN AND THE VISUAL WITHIN AND BEYOND SOCIOLOGY

This new volume in the series “Research in Urban Sociology” is the first part of a double volume that explores multiple ways in which the city and city life may be approached, studied, and expressed through visual and multimodal means and methods, thus seeking to take advantage of the unique visible and multisensory features of human settlements as sources of knowledge.

Two subdisciplines of the social sciences, urban sociology and visual sociology, seem at first sight the designated partners to explore this field of inquiry through combining and reinforcing one another in terms of theories, methods, and practices. While often seamlessly combined in concrete research, these two subdisciplines have different premises.

Urban sociology is committed to a particular subject *area* in the most literal sense – the urban environment – and is focused on scrutinizing the structuring and organization of life in cities, their transformations, problems, and effects on society and civilization, using a variety of quantitative and qualitative methods. It is a vast and well-established subdiscipline since human life is increasingly situated in metropolitan areas, and these dominant forms of human settlement are rife with challenges but are also sites with relentless opportunities for improvement. Dedicated to a specific space/place of human activity rather than one particular theme or problematic, urban sociology entertains a more “spatial” orientation than many other “thematically” organized subdisciplines (like health, gender, and religion). Leading scholars in urban sociology have developed a “socio-spatial perspective” to study metropolitan problems, connecting the uneven development and opportunities of citizens, caused by inequities in class, race, gender, and age, to the spatial nature of large cities and densely populated suburbs (Gottdiener, Hutchison, & Ryan, 2015). With this central concern of urban sociologists for the interplay between social and spatial organization also comes an increased need for visualizations of sorts.

Visual sociology relates to the study of culture and society in a somewhat different manner: its main focus is not on any spatially situated activity nor on specific/singular aspects or problematics per se, but on the way societal and cultural processes and phenomena can be approached primarily through their visible traits. So, in essence, it is defined by “another way of looking” (to a large extent in a literal sense) at society and culture, thereby relying on an under-exposed “channel” of information in social sciences – the visual – and by scrutinizing visible material culture and visually observable human behavior. In other words, visual sociology is largely defined by granting primacy to the visual sense for information gathering and knowledge dissemination. But of course, none of the sociological specialisms are purely verbal, numeric, or visual. Images and visual representations like charts or maps are used by virtually every discipline and so they are all – at least to some extent – *multimodal* in nature. However, within the social sciences and the humanities, distinct visual and multimodal methods have been developed for analyzing and producing visual data and for communicating insights in a partly visual manner. The particular expertise that

visual sociologists (and other visual scholars) may bring to the study of the urban resides in this specialized body of knowledge about: how to interpret and make sense of visible phenomena, how the “outer shell” of society may offer insights into immaterial traits of culture, and how these visual appearances and expressions may testify for particular values and norms, expectations, and aspirations of people in past or present times.

While the “visual appearances” of culture and society as prime materials for research may be directly observed, they most often will be “mediated” by image-producing technologies and practices for further scrutiny. This presupposes thorough knowledge of how visual media and image-making practices *transform* and *inform* the depicted in certain ways as well as how they can be used to express insights in appropriate multimodal formats (Pauwels, 2020).

Visual sociology may very well be the smaller and younger sibling in the sociology family (most certainly when compared with urban sociology), but this is partly due to the fact that it focuses on an alternative – somewhat disregarded – while prime channel of information gathering – visual appearances and visual perceptions – as a dominant aspect of knowledge production and decision-making in everyday life. However central the visual sense is in daily life, it is still a neglected source and tool of explicit knowledge production in the social sciences, as are – and even more so – the other senses. In fact, it is quite astonishing that most social research to date remains very *indirect* in its interrogation of the social world, asking people to tick or fill in predefined answering categories or focusing on what the respondents “say” about a particular issue or situation, not on what they actually do or have done in a real life. Validity is often sacrificed to representativity, for which statistics provide the needed reassurance. Visual social science involves a much broader view of what constitutes social scientific “data”: not just easily quantifiable phenomena and verbal responses, but also visual and multisensory inputs and responses. It also includes creatively rethinking the options to process and transfer data and insights into more advanced visual and multimodal ways (Pauwels, 2015).

The communities of scholars who identify themselves as visual sociologists – and even those of visual scholars more broadly – may still be somewhat limited, but much more visual sociology exists than is so named, and many more visual sociologists exist than the number who would put themselves under that nomen. For visual sociology is most of the time also a sociology of “something” (a theme, a problematic, be it law, health, economics, politics, or poverty) “using” visual methods, and that particular area of application may very well be the field or theme with which practitioners prefer to identify themselves professionally. Thus, urban sociologists, or sociologists of law, or of health etc., may be practicing a more visual or multisensory and multimodal type of social science without consciously foregrounding that aspect of their practice.

A considerable part of visual sociology pertains to urban sociology as many lives are city bound and urban sociology deals with visually observable phenomena as well as visualizations of sorts. Visual sociologists operating in the urban realm (probably the majority) may indeed benefit from the vast body of knowledge produced by urban sociology, as one of the most established and

central subdisciplines of sociology, because human activities are predominantly situated in metropolitan contexts. Yet, a more explicit dealing with visual methods, media, technologies, and the specific types of expertise to accomplish this is desirable, as is the project of broadening the scope of disciplines involved with the multifaceted aspects of urban settlements and their challenges.

Visual sociology is everywhere and yet as a subdiscipline or set of specific methods and insights it seems to remain less visible. The term “visual sociology” itself may also be somewhat misleading since it is not a form of sociology that is purely visual in nature nor is it just a sociology *about* the visual or about visual images. Visual sociology takes the visible and visual seriously as unique data sources and forms of expression, while also requiring some form of written/verbal contextualization and interpretation that is grounded in the theories and empirical findings of the discipline at large.

Visual sociology too has experienced several “spatial turns” (using Geographic Information Systems, GPS tracking devices, wearable media, drones) beyond the fact that visual representations almost always situate the depicted artifacts or phenomena in the context of their immediate (spatial) surroundings. Today, the visual and the spatial are more than ever connected in data production and data representation, and modest but steady progress is being made regarding the inclusion of sensory faculties other than the visual or aural, as forms of data, experience, and expression.

An encompassing, more integrated, and more visual and multimodal study of the city and the urban realm clearly does not end with sociology or the social sciences. Visual and multimodal research requires crossing borders of (sub)disciplines, and the urban context likewise cannot be studied comprehensively without engaging a multitude of disciplines and trying to make productive connections between them. Both the urban realm and the visual sphere are part and parcel of many other scholarly disciplines, which have much to contribute to our understanding of both fields. Moreover, acquiring knowledge is not confined to scholarly activity; many other sectors in society produce useable insights and data in a myriad of ways (art, games, commerce, and culture).

The urban realm is indeed a prime subject of many disciplines and a growing number of them have moved to foreground the visual in their scholarly practices (through research, education, conferences, and publications). However, the importance of bringing these various perspectives, experiences, and insights *together* has seldom been acknowledged by these dispersed communities of visual and multimodal practitioners and theoreticians. This has resulted in a splintered visual and multimodal landscape marked by a less than productive proliferation of concepts and terms often referring to very similar aspects or ideas, and a less than optimal cumulation of cross-disciplinary insights.

While nurturing “more visual and multisensory” approaches of the urban realm in many disciplines and trying to integrate the dispersed visual subdisciplines, one should not forget there are many (sub)disciplines where the visual as input and the use of visualizations are so central that they do not need the prefixes “visual” or “multimodal” to emphasize these well-established practices. Architects and designers, for example, as well as geographers or cartographers,

are formally trained at least in a number of visual techniques, which are absolutely essential for their profession: they therefore have no need for developing a separate subdiscipline that promotes the visual and multisensory impressions as a valid data source, and the multimodal as a format for knowledge expression and dissemination (Pauwels, 2022). In that sense, the field of inquiry and the existing expertise is far more encompassing than one would appreciate at first sight.

2. DIVERGENT AND CROSS-CUTTING THEMES, ASPECTS, AND FOCI: A PREVIEW

In view of the arguments elaborated upon above, volumes 18A and 18B have deliberately expanded their scope to scholars, who would not necessarily consider themselves as urban or visual sociologists. Many contributing authors and discussed examples are from neighboring disciplines (visual anthropology, media history, photography, political science, architecture, human geography, media and communications, etc.) since those are as much involved and committed to scrutinizing urban society through visual and multimodal means, and because the visual and multisensory is a domain par excellence that does not belong to any one discipline.

Both volumes host a variety of approaches, not only with respect to disciplinary positions or specific aspects of urban life in past or present times but also in terms of scholarly “style,” ranging from minute and detached theoretical and methodological studies to ethnographies consisting of intimate and expressive accounts, both in writing and using visuals. Together they provide a rich exploration into different ways to experience and represent the multisensory city.

2.1 Visual and Multimodal Urban Sociology, Part A: Imagining the Sensory City

Following this first chapter, “Viewing and Sensing the City: Cross-Disciplinary Perspectives, Methods, and Technologies,” which discusses the thematic focus of the double volume of “Research in Urban Sociology” as well as its grounding in different established and emerging disciplines and traditions, Chapter 2 “Imagining the City as Home: Functional Prerequisites and Moral Challenges” presents a substantial treatise about what defines a city and how this can be approached visually. Here, John Grady, a visual and urban sociologist in equal manner, provides the reader with a comprehensive framework for looking at various aspects and prerequisites of cities as core human settlements. These different elements are supported by powerful visual materials of a varied nature and origin (photographs, maps, conceptual maps, drawings, advertisements, street photographs, and propaganda posters, etc.), which already foreshadow the visual potential of the discussed matters. This wide-ranging and erudite essay about the city provides a solid framework for looking at its major traits and problematics. For Grady clearly reminds us that “Using visual materials to understand a social object requires the researcher to know that object’s purpose, and this is true whether the object is an artifact, a restricted event, a small social world, or

something as massive as the modern city.” He convincingly argues that his framework may form the basis for developing “shooting scripts” to produce visual data about specific aspects and problematics of the city. In fact, most of the problematics covered in the subsequent chapters of this volume are touched upon and brought into a broader perspective in this second foundational chapter.

Chapter 3, “Unpacking Urban Life in the Past: ‘Time Machine’ as a Data Visualization and Analysis Tool,” by media historians Danielle van den Heuvel and Julia Noordegraaf, discusses a fascinating project called, “Time Machine,” which is currently in development by the “European Time Machine Organization” with partners in different European cities. Its overall aim is “to join Europe’s rich past with up-to-date digital technologies and infrastructures, creating a collective digital information system mapping the European economic, social, cultural, and geographical evolution across times” (www.timemachine.eu). The Time Machine infrastructure is both an unmatched repository of information and a remarkably advanced visualization tool to investigate different interconnected aspects of urban life in their visual and spatial context. Through integrating numerous forms and sources of “Big Data of the Past,” it provides scholars and professionals as well as citizens opportunities to become “participant observers of the past.” They can become time-travelers to particular locations and move between different levels or scales of observation (individuals, artifacts, streets, or neighborhoods). It is not a static repository of knowledge but a genuine site for further research. After an in-depth discussion of the advantages and limitations of current approaches, research methods, and tools to study urban experiences in the past, the authors illustrate some of the features of the Time Machine through a minute study of a neighborhood around the “Bloemstraat” in premodern Amsterdam. While holding much promise for numerous other urban projects, the authors are very much aware of the seductiveness of advanced 3D technologies and visualizations based on hybrid data forms and sources. As the source materials will typically be fragmentary to a certain degree, they stress the importance of finding ways to cope with missing and incomplete data, or data of an indeterminate nature. Such considerations are key for most attempts to capture, organize, and represent data, in particular when involving many authors, sources, types of expertise, and technologies.

Chapter 4 in this volume, “Geo-referencing Early Photographic Studios and Using Historic Photographs to Study Urban Processes and Environments,” by photo historian, Jeremy Rowe, not only provides important new insight into New York-based photography businesses, their exact locations, and their activities in the mid-nineteenth century, but also discusses at length the potential and hazards of using “found images” of a past era to study the urban context. The author proposes a well-defined research trajectory to study photographs as prime historic documents, covering issues like provenance, selectivity, and serendipity, but also focusing on revealing the rich layers of information that reside in the photographs’ content, aesthetics, and material properties. Again, the visual is combined with the spatial and together they reveal the nature and impact of these important image-making and image-consuming social practices at a particular time and in a particular space.

In Chapter 5, “Playing the Early Renaissance City,” Ray Hutchison investigates to what extent games can impart knowledge about a city’s culture and how to navigate it, by analyzing the popular “Assassin’s Creed” series set in early Renaissance Florence. Games are an intricate feature of today’s visual culture, both as a source of entertainment and a tool for acquiring knowledge and skills. Cities are often the scene where stories are set. The “Assassin’s Creed” series is known for its realistic portrayal of cityscapes, historic events, and figures. Through a detailed analysis of the series, Hutchison provides a nuanced view of the often amazingly accurate and detailed depictions of Florence at the time of the described events, but he also notes quite a number of anomalies, omissions, and errors both in the depiction of the material culture of the city, and the places where historic events actually took place. The author also points at the impact these games can have on real life, on tourist guides, as well as on individual tourists in Florence, who are using elements of this game to find their way around. While looking into discussion groups of gamers Hutchison found one member exclaiming: “It is amazing how much the real Florence looks like Assassin’s Creed.” This reversal of the relation between the representation and the referent (or the depiction and the depicted) is a typical feature of visual cultural practices: tourists often look for the exact places where the iconic pictures in travel guides, films, and other visual materials were made, and may find reality at times disappointing. In such cases, images clearly take precedence over what they represent. One cannot expect or demand from the producers of fictional entertainment that they would observe the same standards as scholars, but they sometimes go to great lengths to ensure accuracy, and the infrastructure they develop may be inspiring for even more historically precise depictions, which provide people with new opportunities to experience and learn about the past or present (cf. the Time Machine in Chapter 3). Technologically sophisticated and meticulously researched mediations of the city in a past, present or future mode will no doubt become central tools for experiencing and learning the urban context across constraints of time and space.

In Chapter 6, “Learning from Street View: Lessons in Urban Visuality,” media and communications scholar, Scott McQuire, offers a critical but balanced evaluation of Google Street View and Google Maps as key digital infrastructures in today’s knowledge production of the urban context. While initiated for commercial purposes, this powerful, often taken for granted, digital infrastructure soon proved to be an invaluable resource for urban researchers, for example to perform “prior ethnography” or disembodied “site visits,” and this massive repository of “raw” visual materials even inspires artists. It creates opportunities but also dependencies for many crucial sectors in urban society (tourism, transport, commerce, and health care, etc.) while retaining the power and decision making in private hands. This “free service” has some technical shortcomings, not only regarding resolution, spatial coverage (areas with less commercial value tend to be overlooked), and temporal discontinuities, but also in that several of these traits have undeniable political, economic, and social ramifications. McQuire addresses the fundamental ethical and political implications of a privately owned key resource. He calls for crucial issues to be tackled, like privacy

protection, access to data for researchers and communities, and the capacity to influence or co-create data to mitigate the current power imbalance regarding a major player in the production of urban visibility. Many of McQuire's critical observations can be extended to a host of social media applications, which steer and capture our behavior as citizens for all sorts of undisclosed purposes and with little or no outside control.

Volume 18B of "Research in Urban Sociology" called "Visual and Multimodal Urban Sociology, Part B: Exploring the Urban Everyday," will further present a number of "in situ" visual and multisensory methods and resources for researching the urban context and contain a rich and varied set of ethnographies of the urban everyday:

- (1) Visually Exploring Globalizing Cities: From data visualizations to "in-situ" approaches/*Luc Pauwels*
- (2) Perception in Motion: Alternative research techniques for exploring the urban landscape/*Saskia I. de Wit*
- (3) The Visual Commons: Where residents become neighbors/*Jon Wagner*
- (4) Burned Out: A visual and lyrical sociology of smoking in the city/*Stephen Coleman and Jim Brogden*
- (5) What We See and What We Don't: Resignifying urban traces of colonialism/*Giovanni Semi and Annalisa Frisina*
- (6) For an "Expanded" Visual/Sensory Ethnography: Co-living with death in New Delhi/*Paolo Silvio Harald Favero*
- (7) Isolated Buildings as Indicators of Social Change, a visual essay/*David Schalliol*

3. PROSPECTS OF VISUAL AND MULTIMODAL URBAN STUDIES AS A CROSS-DISCIPLINARY FIELD

Visual and multimodal studies – in particular those related to a sensory rich environment such as a city – ideally call for multisensory approaches, at least as far as possible, since capturing and communicating sensory experiences other than those related to seeing or hearing (namely smell, touch, and taste) remain cumbersome. Often, they need again to be transcribed into visible or aural representations ("visualizations" or "verbal utterances") to be recorded and shared with others.

Visual, multisensory, and multimodal research has always entertained a strong connection with technological developments and will continue to take advantage of the new possibilities such developments may offer for data-collection, production, and dissemination (Pauwels, 2020). Networked digital multimedia and geolocative technologies are indeed able to generate ever more captivating types of data as well as novel ways to archive, process, present, and share knowledge to diverse audiences, for diverse purposes. Citizens can be motivated to produce and upload visual and multimodal data and help researchers to annotate these products, though the production of metadata needs to be streamlined and